

1  
2  
3  
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
6

7 MAUREEN GREENSTONE,

8 Plaintiff(s),

9 v.

10 LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE  
DEPARTMENT, et al.,

11 Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:23-cv-00290-GMN-NJK

**Order**

12 Pending before the Court is a stipulation to delay supplemental initial disclosures and  
13 supplemental expert disclosures until the final months before trial. Docket No. 48. The stipulation  
14 indicates in bald fashion that Plaintiff's medical condition is evolving and that the pretrial phase  
15 of litigation may be lengthy, such that the parties wish to supplement their disclosures on the eve  
16 of trial. *Id.* at 2.<sup>1</sup> The governing rules already address supplementing disclosures, *see* Fed. R. Civ.  
17 P. 26(e), and the stipulation fails to explain in meaningful fashion how or why those default  
18 procedures are insufficient here. Accordingly, the stipulation is **DENIED**.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 Dated: March 19, 2024

21   
22 \_\_\_\_\_  
Nancy J. Koppe  
United States Magistrate Judge  
23  
24  
25  
26

27 <sup>1</sup> This case has involved repeated efforts to depart from the standard litigation process  
28 based on conclusory assertions. The Court has already rejected the prior efforts to delay medical  
discovery. *See* Docket No. 38; *see also* Docket No. 28.